УДК 514.764.227 + 514.765 + 517.984.56 + 511

LAPLACE OPERATOR SPECTRUM ON CONNECTED COMPACT SIMPLE RANK FOUR LIE GROUPS. I

I. A. ZUBAREVA

arXiv:1601.02330v3 [math.DG] 3 Feb 2016

Abstract. In this paper are given explicit calculations of Laplace operator spectrum for smooth real/complex–valued functions on all connected compact simple rank four Lie groups with biinvariant Riemannian metric, corresponding to root systems B_4, C_4, D_4 and established a connection of obtained formulas with the number theory and integer quadratic forms from two, three and four variables.

Key words and phrases: group representation, Killing form, Laplace operator, quadratic forms, spectrum.

Introduction

In paper [1] are studied the spectrum of the Laplace(-Beltrami) operator on smooth real-valued functions defined on compact normal homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. It was shown that in some sense this problem could be reduced to considerations of compact simply connected (connected) simple Lie groups G with biinvariant (i.e. invariant relative to left and right shifts) Riemannian metric ν. In the last case it is suggested an algorithm for the search of Laplacian spectrum via representations of Lie algebras of Lie groups G. In paper [2] this algorithm is generalized to the case of arbitrary connected compact simple Lie group G.

In our paper by means of the search algorithms for Laplacian spectrum from [2] we conduct explicit calculations of Laplacian spectrum for smooth real/complex-valued functions on all compact connected simple rank four Lie groups with biinvariant Riemannian metric, corresponding to root systems B_4, C_4, D_4 and set a connection of obtained formulas to the number theory and integer quadratic forms from two, three and four variables.

The author is grateful to Professor V.N. Berestovskii for useful suggestions.

1. Preliminaries

Let G be a compact connected simple Lie group with biinvariant Riemannian metric ν. The set $\mathrm{Spec}(G,\nu)$ of all eigenvalues of Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ on smooth real-valued functions defined on (G,ν) with taking into account of multiplicity of eigenvalues, i.e. dimension of spaces of corresponding eigenfunctions, is called *the spectrum* of Laplace operator. The spectrum of Lie group (G,ν) can be presented as follows:

$$\mathrm{Spec}(G,\nu) = \{0 = \lambda_0 > \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots\}. \tag{1}$$

The Laplacian is naturally generalized onto complex-valued functions.

Definition 1. *Bilinear (symmetric) form k_ρ on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by formula*

$$k_\rho(u,v) = \mathrm{trace}(\rho(u)\rho(v)), \quad u,\, v \in \mathfrak{g},$$

is said to be the form associated with a representation ρ of $\mathfrak{g}$. The form k_{ad}, where $\mathrm{ad}(u)(v) := [u, v]$ is the adjoint representation of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, is called the Killing form of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

We note that a compact connected Lie group G is simple if and only if the adjoint representation ad of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is irreducible. In addition for any irreducible non-zero representation ρ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ the form k_ρ is negatively defined and is proportional to the scalar product ν.

The following proposition holds (see for example [3]).

Proposition 1. *If (G, ν) is a compact connected simple m-dimensional Lie group with biinvariant Riemannian metric ν such that $\nu(e) = -k_{\mathrm{ad}}$ then for the adjoint representation* Ad *the Lie group G:*

$$\lambda_{\mathrm{Ad}} = -1, \quad \dim \mathrm{Ad} = d_{\mathrm{Ad}} = m.$$

Ommiting details, let us present the calculation algorithm of Laplacian spectra of all Lie groups (G, ν) with fixed Lie algebra, stated in [2] (see corollary 5), using tables I–IX from [4], where ρ denotes the vector β.

Theorem 1. *To calculate Laplacian spectra for all compact connected simple Lie groups G with simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with root system Γ and biinvariant Riemannian metric ν satisfying condition $\nu(e) = -\gamma k_{ad}$, where $\gamma > 0$, one needs to fulfil the following actions:*

1) calculate expression $b = \langle \tilde{\alpha} + \beta, \tilde{\alpha} + \beta \rangle - \langle \beta, \beta \rangle$, where $\tilde{\alpha}$ is highest (maximal) root, assuming that relative to scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ vectors ϵ_i from corresponding table in [4] *are mutually orthogonal and unitary;*

2) take scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{1}{b}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$;

3) find the fundamental weights $\bar{\omega}_1, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_l$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie group G (if $\mathfrak{g}$ has rank l) by corresponding table from [4]*;*

4) for any highest weight $\Lambda \in \Lambda_1^+(\Gamma)$, i.e. for any $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i$, where $\Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, find eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ of the Laplace operator, corresponding to highest weight Λ, by formula

$$\lambda(\Lambda) = -\frac{1}{\gamma}\Big[\langle \Lambda + \beta, \Lambda + \beta \rangle - \langle \beta, \beta \rangle\Big] \tag{2}$$

and dimension $d(\Lambda + \beta)$ of irreducible complex representation of complex span of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight Λ by formula

$$d(\Lambda + \beta) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma^+} \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \alpha)}{(\beta, \alpha)}; \tag{3}$$

5) for any lattice Λ, satisfying relation $\Lambda_0(\Gamma) \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_1(\Gamma)$, where $\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$ and $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)$ are lattices generated by simple roots and fundamental weights, obtained in p. 1) and p. 3) respectively, G is Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, corresponding to characteristic lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda(G)$, fulfil the following three actions:

6) find the set of highest weights $\Lambda^+(G) = \Lambda(G) \cap \Lambda_1^+(\Gamma)$, defining it via fundamental weights $\bar{\omega}_1, \ldots, \bar{\omega}_l$;

7) for any highest weight $\Lambda \in \Lambda^+(G)$ find from p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ of irreducible complex representation corresponding to the weight Λ;

8) find multiplicity of any eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda(\Lambda)$ by formula

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{\Lambda:\, \lambda(\Lambda)=\gamma\lambda} \prod_{\alpha\in\Gamma^+} \left(\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\alpha)}{(\beta,\alpha)} \right)^2, \tag{4}$$

obtaining in this way the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(G,\nu)$ *of the Lie group G, corresponding to characteristic lattice $\Lambda(G)$.*

Thus, we get all spectra $\mathrm{Spec}(G,\nu)$ *of Lie groups G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and metric ν.*

Remark 1. *In formulas (3) and (4) applied in p. 4) and p. 8) of theorem 1 we can use instead of $(\cdot,\cdot)$ every scalar product proportional to it, in particular, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ from p. 1 of theorem 1.*

Maximal fundamental group $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)/\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$ plays the main role in classification of simple Lie groups with given (simple) Lie algebra. The following proposition holds (see for example [5]).

Proposition 2. *Let maximal fundamental group $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)/\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$ of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has prime order. Then the family of non-isomorphic compact connected Lie groups with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ consists of two groups: simply connected Lie group G_1 with the center $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)/\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$ and weight lattice $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)$, coinciding with weight lattice of Lie algebra $\Lambda(\mathfrak{g})$, and Lie group G_0 without center, with fundamental group $\Lambda_1(\Gamma)/\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$ and weight lattice $\Lambda_0(\Gamma)$.*

We see from description of all irreducible root systems in tables I–IX from [4] that there are only five irreducible systems of rank four: A_4, B_4, C_4, D_4, F_4.

In Table 1 we present the list of Lie groups, corresponding to root systems B_4, C_4, D_4.

Lie group G	Γ	$\Lambda(G)$	$\pi_1(G)$	$\mathrm{C}(G)$	$\dim G$
Spin(9)	B_4	Λ_1	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	36
SO(9)	B_4	Λ_0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	36
Sp(4)	C_4	Λ_1	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	36
PSp(4)	C_4	Λ_0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	36
Spin(8)	D_4	Λ_1	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	28
SO(8)	D_4	Λ	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	28
PSO(8)	D_4	Λ_0	$\mathbb{Z}_2\oplus\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	28

Table 1. Compact connected simple rank four Lie groups, corresponding to root systems B_4, C_4, D_4.

Using theorem 1 and table 1 we shall find in next sections Laplacian spectra of all compact connected simple rank four Lie groups corresponding to root systems B_4, C_4, D_4.

2. Calculation of Laplacian spectrum for Lie groups Spin(9) and SO(9)

By table 1, to Lie groups under consideration corresponds the root system B_4. We apply table II from [4]. Simple roots are $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2,\ \alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3,\ \alpha_3 = \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4,\ \alpha_4 = \varepsilon_4$; maximal root is $\tilde{\alpha} = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$. Positive roots are $\varepsilon_i,\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j,\ 1 \leq i < j \leq 4$. The sum of positive roots is equal to $2\beta = 7\varepsilon_1 + 5\varepsilon_2 + 3\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4$, whence

$$\beta = \frac{7\varepsilon_1 + 5\varepsilon_2 + 3\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4}{2}, \quad \tilde{\alpha} + \beta = \frac{9\varepsilon_1 + 7\varepsilon_2 + 3\varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4}{2}. \tag{5}$$

We act according to algorithm suggested in theorem 1.

1) $b = \langle \tilde{\alpha} + \beta, \tilde{\alpha} + \beta \rangle - \langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 35 - 21 = 14$.

2) $(\cdot, \cdot) = \frac{1}{14}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

3) Fundamental weights have the form

$$\bar{\omega}_1 = \varepsilon_1, \quad \bar{\omega}_2 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, \quad \bar{\omega}_3 = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3, \quad \bar{\omega}_4 = \frac{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \bar{\omega}_2, \quad \beta = \bar{\omega}_1 + \bar{\omega}_2 + \bar{\omega}_3 + \bar{\omega}_4.$$

4) Let $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i$, where $\Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then

$$\Lambda + \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\Lambda_i + 1)\bar{\omega}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \nu_i \bar{\omega}_i =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_1 + (2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_2 + (2\nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_3 + \nu_4\varepsilon_4], \tag{6}$$

where $\nu_i = \Lambda_i + 1,\ \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

By formula (2), eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$, corresponding to highest weight Λ, is equal to

$$\lambda(\Lambda) = -\frac{1}{14\gamma}[\langle \Lambda + \beta, \Lambda + \beta \rangle - \langle \beta, \beta \rangle] =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{56\gamma}\left[(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + \nu_4^2 - 84\right]. \tag{7}$$

Calculation by formula (3) of dimension $d(\Lambda + \beta)$ of representation $\rho(\Lambda)$ corresponding to highest weight Λ gives

$$\begin{aligned} d(\Lambda + \beta) = {} & \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_4)} \\ & \times \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)} \\ & \times \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)} \\ & \times \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the ground of (5) and (6),

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1)}=\frac{2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4}{7},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2)}=\frac{2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4}{5},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{2\nu_3+\nu_4}{3},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_4)}=\nu_4,\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}=\nu_1,$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2}{2},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3}{3},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}=\nu_2,\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_2+\nu_3}{2},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}=\nu_3,$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}=\frac{\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4}{6},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4}{5},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4}{4},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4}{4},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4}{3},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_3+\nu_4}{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(8)\qquad d(\Lambda+\beta)=&\frac{1}{3628800}\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4(\nu_1+\nu_2)(\nu_2+\nu_3)(\nu_3+\nu_4)(2\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)\\&\times(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)(2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)\\&\times(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4).\end{aligned}$$

5) Simple roots and fundamental weights indicated above generate respective lattices $\Lambda_0(B_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(B_4)$, i.e.

$$(9)\qquad \Lambda_0(B_4)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\Psi_i\alpha_i\ \mid\ \Psi_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4\right\},$$

$$(10)\qquad \Lambda_1(B_4)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\Lambda_i\bar{\omega}_i\ \mid\ \Lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4\right\}.$$

After expressing roots via fundamental weights

$$\alpha_1=2\bar{\omega}_1-\bar{\omega}_2,\ \alpha_2=-\bar{\omega}_1+2\bar{\omega}_2-\bar{\omega}_3,\ \alpha_3=-\bar{\omega}_2+2\bar{\omega}_3-2\bar{\omega}_4,\ \alpha_4=-\bar{\omega}_3+2\bar{\omega}_4$$

and the change of variables

$$\begin{cases}\Psi_1=\Omega_1+\Omega_2+\Omega_3+\Omega_4,\\ \Psi_2=\Omega_1+2\Omega_2+2\Omega_3+2\Omega_4,\\ \Psi_3=\Omega_1+2\Omega_2+3\Omega_3+3\Omega_4,\\ \Psi_4=\Omega_1+2\Omega_2+3\Omega_3+4\Omega_4\end{cases}\Leftrightarrow\begin{cases}\Omega_1=2\Psi_1-\Psi_2,\\ \Omega_2=-\Psi_1+2\Psi_2-\Psi_3,\\ \Omega_3=-\Psi_2+2\Psi_3-\Psi_4,\\ \Omega_4=-\Psi_3+\Psi_4\end{cases}$$

the lattice $\Lambda_0(B_4)$ takes the following view

$$(11)\qquad \Lambda_0(B_4)=\{\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1+\Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2+\Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3+2\Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4\ \mid\ \Omega_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4\}.$$

It follows from (9), (10), and (11) that $\Lambda_0(B_4) \subset \Lambda_1(B_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(B_4)/\Lambda_0(B_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e. it has prime order. Hence on the ground of proposition 2 there is no other lattices. Lie groups, associated with these characteristic lattices, are presented in table 1.

a) Let us give formulas defining Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group Spin(9).

6a) By formula (9), the set of highest weights of the Lie group Spin(9) is equal to

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{Spin}(9)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i \mid \Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ i = 1,2,3,4 \right\}.$$

7a) Set $\Lambda = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{Spin}(9))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated by formulas (7) and (8) respectively, where $\nu_i = \Lambda_i + 1$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$.

8a) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the multiplicity of eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$:

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{3628800^2} \sum_{\Xi_4} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1 = \{&(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + \\ &+\nu_4^2 = 84 - 56\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{4}{7\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group Spin(9) with highest weight $\bar{\omega}_1$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 9. Therefore the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{4}{7\gamma}$ is equal to $9^2 = 81$.

b) Let us give formulas defining Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group SO(9).

6b) By formula (11), the set of highest weights of the Lie group SO(9) is equal to

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{SO}(9)) = \{\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + 2\Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ i = 1,2,3,4\}.$$

7b) Set $\Lambda = \Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + 2\Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{SO}(9))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated by formulas (7) and (8) respectively, where $\nu_1 = \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_2 = \Omega_2 + 1$, $\nu_3 = \Omega_3 + 1$, $\nu_4 = 2\Omega_4 + 1$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$, $\nu_4 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$.

8b) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$:

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{3628800^2} \sum_{\Xi_5} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_2 = \{(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(2\nu_2+2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(2\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+ \\ +\nu_4^2 = 84-56\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_4 \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\,2),\ \nu_i\in\mathbb{N},\ i=1,2,3,4\}. \tag{13}$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{4}{7\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group SO(9) with highest weight $\bar\omega_1$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 9. Consequently the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{4}{7\gamma}$ is equal to $9^2=81$.

3. Calculation of Laplacian spectrum for Lie groups Sp(4) and Sp(4)/C(Sp(4))

By table 1, the Lie groups under considerations correspond to root system C_4. We apply table III from [4]. Simple roots are $\alpha_1=\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2,\ \alpha_2=\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3,\ \alpha_3=\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4,\ \alpha_4=2\varepsilon_4$; maximal root is $\tilde\alpha=2\varepsilon_1$. Positive roots are $2\varepsilon_i$, $i=1,2,3,4$; $\varepsilon_i\pm\varepsilon_j$, $1\le i<j\le 4$. The sum of positive roots is equal to $2\beta=8\varepsilon_1+6\varepsilon_2+4\varepsilon_3+2\varepsilon_4$, whence

$$\beta = 4\varepsilon_1+3\varepsilon_2+2\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4,\quad \tilde\alpha+\beta = 6\varepsilon_1+3\varepsilon_2+2\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4. \tag{14}$$

We act according to algorithm presented in theorem 1.

1) $b=\langle\tilde\alpha+\beta,\tilde\alpha+\beta\rangle-\langle\beta,\beta\rangle=50-30=20$.

2) $(\cdot,\cdot)=\frac{1}{20}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

3) Fundamental weights have the following form

$$\bar\omega_1=\varepsilon_1,\quad \bar\omega_2=\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2,\quad \bar\omega_3=\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3,\quad \bar\omega_4=\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tilde\alpha=2\bar\omega_1,\quad \beta=\bar\omega_1+\bar\omega_2+\bar\omega_3+\bar\omega_4.$$

4) Set $\Lambda=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{4}\Lambda_i\bar\omega_i$, where $\Lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, $i=1,2,3,4$. Then

$$\Lambda+\beta=\sum_{i=1}^{4}(\Lambda_i+1)\bar\omega_i=(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)\varepsilon_1+(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)\varepsilon_2+(\nu_3+\nu_4)\varepsilon_3+\nu_4\varepsilon_4, \tag{15}$$

where $\nu_i=\Lambda_i+1,\ \nu_i\in\mathbb{N},\ i=1,2,3,4$.

By formula (2), eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$, corresponding to highest weight Λ, is equal to

$$\lambda(\Lambda)=-\frac{1}{20\gamma}[\langle\Lambda+\beta,\Lambda+\beta\rangle-\langle\beta,\beta\rangle]= \\ =-\frac{1}{20\gamma}\left[(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+\nu_4^2-30\right]. \tag{16}$$

By formula (3), dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ of representation $\rho(\Lambda)$, associated with highest weight Λ, is equal to

$$d(\Lambda+\beta)=\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_1)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_1)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_2)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_3)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_4)} \\ \times\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}$$

$$\times\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}$$

$$\times\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\epsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}\cdot\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}.$$

On the ground of (14) and (15),

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_1)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_1)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4}{4},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_2)}=\frac{\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4}{3},$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_3+\nu_4}{2},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,2\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,2\varepsilon_4)}=\nu_4,\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2)}=\nu_1,$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2}{2},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3}{3},$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3)}=\nu_2,\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_2+\nu_3}{2},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4)}=\nu_3,$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}=\frac{\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4}{7},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4}{6},$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4}{5},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3)}=\frac{\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4}{5},$$

$$\frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4}{4},\quad \frac{(\Lambda+\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}{(\beta,\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4)}=\frac{\nu_3+2\nu_4}{3}.$$

Consequently

$$d(\Lambda+\beta)=\frac{1}{3628800}\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4(\nu_1+\nu_2)(\nu_2+\nu_3)(\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_3+2\nu_4) \tag{17}$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)(\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)(\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4).$$

5) Simple roots and fundamental weights of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ indicated above define respective lattices $\Lambda_0(C_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(C_4)$, i.e.

$$\Lambda_0(C_4)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\Psi_i\alpha_i \mid \Psi_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4\right\}, \tag{18}$$

$$\Lambda_1(C_4)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\Lambda_i\bar{\omega}_i \mid \Lambda_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4\right\}.$$

After expressing roots via fundamental weights

$$\alpha_1=2\bar{\omega}_1-\bar{\omega}_2,\ \alpha_2=-\bar{\omega}_1+2\bar{\omega}_2-\bar{\omega}_3,\ \alpha_3=-\bar{\omega}_2+2\bar{\omega}_3-\bar{\omega}_4,\ \alpha_4=-2\bar{\omega}_3+2\bar{\omega}_4$$

and the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_1 = -2\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + 2\Omega_4, \\ \Psi_2 = 2\Omega_1 + 2\Omega_2 + 3\Omega_3 + 2\Omega_4, \\ \Psi_3 = -2\Omega_1 + 2\Omega_2 + 2\Omega_3 + 3\Omega_4, \\ \Psi_4 = -\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + 2\Omega_4 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Omega_1 = \Psi_1 - \Psi_3 + \Psi_4, \\ \Omega_2 = -\Psi_1 + 2\Psi_2 - \Psi_3, \\ \Omega_3 = -\Psi_2 + 2\Psi_3 - 2\Psi_4, \\ \Omega_4 = -\Psi_3 + 2\Psi_4 \end{cases}$$

the lattice $\Lambda_0(C_4)$ takes the following form

$$\Lambda_0(C_4) = \{2\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3(\bar{\omega}_1 + \bar{\omega}_3) + \Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \tag{19}$$

Let us define lattice $\Lambda_1(C_4)$ in base $\{\bar{\omega}_1, \bar{\omega}_2, \bar{\omega}_1 + \bar{\omega}_3, \bar{\omega}_4\}$ via the change of variables $\{\Lambda_1 = \Omega_1 + \Omega_3,\ \Lambda_2 = \Omega_2,\ \Lambda_3 = \Omega_3,\ \Lambda_4 = \Omega_4\}$:

$$\Lambda_1(C_4) = \{\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3(\bar{\omega}_1 + \bar{\omega}_3) + \Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \tag{20}$$

It follows from (19) and (20) that $\Lambda_0(C_4) \subset \Lambda_1(C_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(C_4)/\Lambda_0(C_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e. it has prime order. It follows from here and proposition 2 that there is no other lattices. Lie groups, associated with these characteristic lattices, are presented in table 1.

a) Let us give formulas, defining Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group Sp(4).

6a) By formula (18), the set of highest weights of the Lie group Sp(4) is equal to

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{Sp}(4)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i\bar{\omega}_i \mid \Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ i = 1,2,3,4 \right\}.$$

7a) Set $\Lambda = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i\bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated respectively by formulas (16) and (17), where $\nu_i = \Lambda_i + 1$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$.

8a) Applying formula (4) and result of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of eigenvalue λ

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{3628800^2} \sum_{\Xi_6} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2$$
$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2$$
$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_3 = \{(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + \nu_4^2 = 30 - 20\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \tag{21}$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{9}{20\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group Sp(4) with highest weight $\bar{\omega}_1$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 8. Therefore the multiplicity of eigenvalue $-\frac{9}{20\gamma}$ is equal to $8^2 = 64$.

b) Let present formulas giving Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group Sp(4)/C(Sp(4)).

6b) By formula (19), the set of highest weights of the Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$ is equal to

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Sp}(4))) = \{(2\Omega_1+\Omega_3)\bar\omega_1+\Omega_2\bar\omega_2+\Omega_3\bar\omega_3+\Omega_4\bar\omega_4 \mid$$
$$\Omega_i\in\mathbb{Z},\ i=1,2,3,4;\ 2\Omega_1+\Omega_3\geq 0,\ \Omega_2\geq 0,\ \Omega_3\geq 0,\ \Omega_4\geq 0\}.$$

7b) Set $\Lambda=(2\Omega_1+\Omega_3)\bar\omega_1+\Omega_2\bar\omega_2+\Omega_3\bar\omega_3+\Omega_4\bar\omega_4\in\Lambda^+(\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Sp}(4)))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated respectively by formulas (16) and (17), where $\nu_1=2\Omega_1+\Omega_3+1$, $\nu_2=\Omega_2+1$, $\nu_3=\Omega_3+1$, $\nu_4=\Omega_4+1$, $\nu_i\in\mathbb{N}$, $i=1,2,3,4$; $\nu_1\equiv\nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$.

8b) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$

$$\sigma(\Lambda)=\frac{1}{3628800^2}\sum_{\Xi_7}\nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2$$
$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2$$
$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+2\nu_3+2\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_4=\{(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+ \tag{22}$$
$$+\nu_4^2=30-20\gamma\lambda\ \mid\ \nu_1\equiv\nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\,2),\ \nu_i\in\mathbb{N},\ i=1,2,3,4\}.$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{4}{5\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group $\mathrm{Sp}(4)/\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Sp}(4))$ with highest weight $\bar\omega_2$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 27. Consequently the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{4}{5\gamma}$ is equal to $27^2=729$.

4. Calculation of Laplacian spectrum for Lie groups Spin(8), SO(8), and PSO(8)

By table 1, the Lie groups under considerations correspond to root system D_4. We apply table IV from [4]. Simple roots are $\alpha_1=\varepsilon_1-\varepsilon_2$, $\alpha_2=\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_3$, $\alpha_3=\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4$, $\alpha_4=\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4$; maximal root is $\tilde\alpha=\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2$. Positive roots are $\varepsilon_i\pm\varepsilon_j$, $1\leq i<j\leq 4$. The sum of positive roots is equal to $2\beta=6\varepsilon_1+4\varepsilon_2+2\varepsilon_3$, whence

$$\beta=3\varepsilon_1+2\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3,\quad \tilde\alpha+\beta=4\varepsilon_1+3\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3. \tag{23}$$

We act according to algorithm presented in theorem 1.

1) $b=\langle\tilde\alpha+\beta,\tilde\alpha+\beta\rangle-\langle\beta,\beta\rangle=26-14=12$.

2) $(\cdot,\cdot)=\frac{1}{12}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

3) Fundamental weights have the following form

$$\bar\omega_1=\varepsilon_1,\quad \bar\omega_2=\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2,\quad \bar\omega_3=\frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3-\varepsilon_4}{2},\quad \bar\omega_4=\frac{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2+\varepsilon_3+\varepsilon_4}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\tilde\alpha=\bar\omega_2,\quad \beta=\bar\omega_1+\bar\omega_2+\bar\omega_3+\bar\omega_4.$$

4) Set $\Lambda = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i$, where $\Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then

$$\Lambda + \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (\Lambda_i + 1)\bar{\omega}_i = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \nu_i \bar{\omega}_i = \tag{24}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_1 + (2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_2 + (\nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_3 + (-\nu_3 + \nu_4)\varepsilon_4],$$

where $\nu_i = \Lambda_i + 1,\ \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

By formula (2), eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$, corresponding to highest weight Λ, is equal to

$$\lambda(\Lambda) = -\frac{1}{12\gamma}[\langle \Lambda + \beta, \Lambda + \beta\rangle - \langle \beta, \beta\rangle] = \tag{25}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{48\gamma}\left[(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + 2\nu_3^2 + 2\nu_4^2 - 56\right].$$

Calculation by formula (3) of dimension $d(\Lambda + \beta)$ of representation $\rho(\Lambda)$ corresponding to highest weight Λ gives

$$d(\Lambda + \beta) = \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)}$$
$$\times \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}$$
$$\times \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)} \cdot \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)}.$$

On the ground of (23) and (24),

$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)} = \nu_1, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3)} = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2}{2},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_4)} = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3}{3}, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)} = \nu_2,$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_4)} = \frac{\nu_2 + \nu_3}{2}, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_4)} = \nu_3,$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} = \frac{\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4}{5}, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3)} = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4}{4},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_4)} = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_4}{3}, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3)} = \frac{\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4}{3},$$
$$\frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4)} = \frac{\nu_2 + \nu_4}{2}, \quad \frac{(\Lambda + \beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)}{(\beta, \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4)} = \nu_4.$$

Therefore

$$d(\Lambda + \beta) = \frac{1}{4320}\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3\nu_4(\nu_1 + \nu_2)(\nu_2 + \nu_3)(\nu_2 + \nu_4)(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3)\times \tag{26}$$
$$\times(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_4)(\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)(\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4).$$

5) Simple roots and fundamental weights of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ indicated above generate respective lattices $\Lambda_0(D_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(D_4)$, i.e.

$$\Lambda_0(D_4) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Psi_i \alpha_i \mid \Psi_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4 \right\}, \tag{27}$$

$$\Lambda_1(D_4) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i \mid \Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4 \right\}.$$

After expressing roots via fundamental weights

$$\alpha_1 = 2\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_2,\ \alpha_2 = -\bar{\omega}_1 + 2\bar{\omega}_2 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4,\ \alpha_3 = -\bar{\omega}_2 + 2\bar{\omega}_3,\ \alpha_4 = -\bar{\omega}_2 + 2\bar{\omega}_4$$

and the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_1 = \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + \Omega_4, \\ \Psi_2 = -\Omega_1 + 2\Omega_2 + 2\Omega_3 + 2\Omega_4, \\ \Psi_3 = -\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + 2\Omega_3 + \Omega_4, \\ \Psi_4 = -\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \Omega_3 + 2\Omega_4 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \Omega_1 = 2\Psi_1 - \Psi_2, \\ \Omega_2 = -\Psi_1 + 2\Psi_2 - \Psi_3 - \Psi_4, \\ \Omega_3 = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 + \Psi_3, \\ \Omega_4 = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 + \Psi_4 \end{cases}$$

the lattice $\Lambda_0(D_4)$ takes the following view

$$\Lambda_0(D_4) = \{\Omega_1(\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4) + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + 2\Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + 2\Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \tag{28}$$

Also under the change of variables $\{\Lambda_1 = \Omega_1,\ \Lambda_2 = \Omega_2,\ \Lambda_3 = \Omega_3 - \Omega_1,\ \Lambda_4 = \Omega_4 - \Omega_1\}$ the lattice $\Lambda_1(D_4)$ in base $\{\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4, \bar{\omega}_2, \bar{\omega}_3, \bar{\omega}_4\}$ takes the following form

$$\Lambda_1(D_4) = \{\Omega_1(\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4) + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + \Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}. \tag{29}$$

It follows from (28) and (29) that $\Lambda_0(D_4) \subset \Lambda_1(D_4)$ and $\Lambda_1(D_4)/\Lambda_0(D_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore there exist five lattices Λ satisfying the relation $\Lambda_0(D_4) \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_1(D_4)$, namely, $\Lambda_0(D_4)$, $\Lambda_{1/4}(D_4)$, $\Lambda_{1/2}(D_4)$, $\Lambda_{3/4}(D_4)$, $\Lambda_1(D_4)$, where

$$\Lambda_{1/4}(D_4) = \{\Omega_1(\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4) + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + 2\Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + \Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\};$$

$$\Lambda_{1/2}(D_4) = \{\Omega_1(\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4) + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + \Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid$$
$$\Omega_3 \equiv \Omega_4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\};$$

$$\Lambda_{3/4}(D_4) = \{\Omega_1(\bar{\omega}_1 - \bar{\omega}_3 - \bar{\omega}_4) + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_3\bar{\omega}_3 + 2\Omega_4\bar{\omega}_4 \mid \Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}.$$

Lie groups, corresponding to these characteristic lattices, are given in table 1. Lie groups, corresponding to the lattices $\Lambda_{1/4}(D_4)$, $\Lambda_{1/2}(D_4)$, $\Lambda_{3/4}(D_4)$, are isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(8) \cong \mathrm{Spin}(8)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

a) Let us present formulas for Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group Spin(8).

6a) By formula (27) we get the following set of highest weights of the Lie group Spin(8).

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{Spin}(8)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i \mid \Lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+,\ i = 1,2,3,4 \right\}.$$

7a) Set $\Lambda = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{4} \Lambda_i \bar{\omega}_i \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{Spin}(8))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated by formulas (25) and (26) respectively, where $\nu_i = \Lambda_i + 1$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

8a) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{4320^2} \sum_{\Xi_8} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_5 = \{(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + 2\nu_3^2 + \tag{30}$$
$$+2\nu_4^2 = 56 - 48\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{7}{12\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representations of the Lie group Spin(8) with highest weights $\bar{\omega}_1$, $\bar{\omega}_3$ and $\bar{\omega}_4$. Dimensions of these representations are equal to 8. Therefore the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{7}{12\gamma}$ is equal to $8^2 + 8^2 + 8^2 = 192$.

b) Let us give formulas for Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group $\mathrm{SO}(8) \cong \mathrm{Spin}(8)/\mathbb{Z}_2$.

6b) By formula (27), we get the sets of highest weights of the Lie group SO(8)

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{SO}(8)) = \{\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + (2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_3 + (\Omega_4 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_4 \mid$$

$$\Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4;\ \Omega_1 \geq 0,\ \Omega_2 \geq 0,\ 2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1 \geq 0,\ \Omega_4 - \Omega_1 \geq 0\}.$$

7b) Set $\Lambda = \Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + (2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_3 + (\Omega_4 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_4 \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{SO}(8))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda+\beta)$ are calculated by formulas (25) and (26) respectively, where $\nu_1 = \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_2 = \Omega_2 + 1$, $\nu_3 = 2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_4 = \Omega_4 - \Omega_1 + 1$; $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$; $\nu_3 \equiv \nu_1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$.

8b) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{4320^2} \sum_{\Xi_9} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2$$

$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_6 = \{(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2 + 2\nu_3^2 + \tag{31}$$
$$+2\nu_4^2 = 56 - 48\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_3 \equiv \nu_1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{7}{12\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group SO(8) with highest weight $\bar{\omega}_4$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 8. Then the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{7}{12\gamma}$ is equal to $8^2 = 64$.

c) Let us give formulas for Laplacian spectrum of the Lie group $\mathrm{PSO}(8) \cong \mathrm{SO}(8)/\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{SO}(8))$.

6c) By formula (27), we get the set of highest weights of the Lie group PSO(8)

$$\Lambda^+(\mathrm{PSO}(8)) = \{\Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + (2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_3 + (2\Omega_4 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_4 \mid$$
$$\Omega_i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i = 1,2,3,4;\ \Omega_1 \geq 0,\ \Omega_2 \geq 0,\ 2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1 \geq 0,\ 2\Omega_4 - \Omega_1 \geq 0\}.$$

7c) Set $\Lambda = \Omega_1\bar{\omega}_1 + \Omega_2\bar{\omega}_2 + (2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_3 + (2\Omega_4 - \Omega_1)\bar{\omega}_4 \in \Lambda^+(\mathrm{PSO}(8))$, then by p. 4) eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$ and dimension $d(\Lambda + \beta)$ are calculated by formulas (25) and (26) respectively, where $\nu_1 = \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_2 = \Omega_2 + 1$, $\nu_3 = 2\Omega_3 - \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_4 = 2\Omega_4 - \Omega_1 + 1$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1,2,3,4$, $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 \equiv \nu_4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$.

8c) Applying formula (4) and results of preceding point, we get the following multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda(\Lambda)$

$$\sigma(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{4320^2} \sum_{\Xi_{10}} \nu_1^2\nu_2^2\nu_3^2\nu_4^2(\nu_1+\nu_2)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3)^2(\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3)^2$$
$$\times(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_4)^2(\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2(\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2,$$

where

$$\Xi_7 = \{(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + 2\nu_3^2 + \tag{32}$$
$$+2\nu_4^2 = 56 - 48\gamma\lambda \mid \nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 \equiv \nu_4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ \nu_i \in \mathbb{N},\ i = 1,2,3,4\}.$$

The least by modulus non-zero eigenvalue of Laplacian is equal to $-\frac{1}{\gamma}$ and corresponds to irreducible complex representation of the Lie group PSO(8) with highest weight $\bar{\omega}_2$. The dimension of this representation is equal to 28. Consequently the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $-\frac{1}{\gamma}$ is equal to $28^2 = 784$.

5. Necessary information from number theory

In this section are presented all necessary information on classical solutions of presentation problem of natural numbers by values of some positively defined integer quadratic forms from two, three and four variables on integer vectors, applying in next sections.

Theorem 2. [8], [9]. *A natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2, \quad x,\, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{33}$$

if and only if k has no prime factor p with condition $p \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, which occurs in odd power into factorization of k by prime factors.

In addition a number $N_2(k)$ of all solutions to the equation (33) is equal to quadruplicate difference of quantities of (natural) divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ and divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$.

Theorem 3. [5] *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2, \quad x < y,\ x,\, y \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{34}$$

$L_2(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then

1. *If $k \neq m^2$, $k \neq 2m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L_2(k) = N_2(k)/8$.*
2. *If $k = m^2$ or $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L_2(k) = (N_2(k) - 4)/8$.*

Theorems 2 and 3 imply directly

Corollary 1. [5] *A natural number k can be presented in the form (34) if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled.*

1. $k \geq 5$ and k has no prime divisor p with condition $p \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, which occurs in odd power into factorization of k by prime factors.

2. If $k = m^2$ or $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then the difference of quantities of (natural) divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ and divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ is more than 1.

Theorem 4. [7], [8] *1. An odd natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + 2y^2, \quad x,\, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{35}$$

where $GCD(x, y) = 1$, if and only if the factorization of k by prime factors does not contain prime numbers of the form $8n + 5$ u $8n + 7$.

2. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the number $N_{1,2}(k)$ of all solutions to the equation (35) is equal to doubled difference of quantities (of natural) divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ or $d \equiv 3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ and divisors d of k such that $d \equiv 5 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ or $d \equiv 7 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$.

Theorem 5. *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + 2y^2, \quad x \neq y,\ x,\, y \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{36}$$

$L_{1,2}(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then

1. If $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, then $L_{1,2}(k) = N_{1,2}(k)/4$.

2. If $k = m^2$ or $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $L_{1,2}(k) = (N_{1,2}(k) - 2)/4$.

3. If $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $L_{1,2}(k) = (N_{1,2}(k) - 4)/4$.

Proof. 1. Let $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$. Then if (x, y) is a presentation of k in the form (35), then $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$. To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (36) correspond exactly four different presentations of k in the form (35), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm x, \pm y)$. Therefore $N_{1,2}(k) = 4L_{1,2}(k)$, whence it follows the required formula.

2. Let $k = m^2$ ($k = 2m^2$) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (35), described in p. 1, there are also only two different presentations of k in the form (35), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm m, 0)$ (respectively, $(0, \pm m)$). Therefore $N_{1,2}(k) = 4L_{1,2}(k) + 2$, whence it follows the required formula.

3. Let $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (35), described in p. 1, there are also only four different presentations of k in the form (35), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm m, \pm m)$. Therefore $N_{1,2}(k) = 4L_{1,2}(k) + 4$, whence it follows the required formula. □

Later we shall need the notion of *the Legendre-Jacobi symbol*. There are different definitions of this symbol. Here is given the most simple its definition, taken from [10] and belonging to Russian mathematician E.I. Zolotarev (1847–1878).

Definition 2. *Let $n > 1$ be an odd natural number, a be an integer number, coprime with n. The Legendre-Jacobi symbol $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ is the sign of permutation on residue ring* mod n *obtained by multiplication of this ring by a* mod n.

Theorem 6. [8] *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + 3y^2, \quad x,\, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{37}$$

$N_{1,3}(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Set $k = 2^l m$, where m is an odd number, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

1. *If $l = 0$ then $N_{1,3}(k) = 2\chi(m)$.*
2. *If l is an odd number then $N_{1,3}(k) = 0$.*
3. *If $l \neq 0$ is an even number then $N_{1,3}(k) = 6\chi(m)$.*

Here $\chi(m) = \sum \left(\frac{-3}{d}\right)$, in both cases the summation is taken by all divisors d of m.

Theorem 7. *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + 3y^2, \quad x \neq y,\ x,\ y \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{38}$$

$L_{1,3}(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then

1. *If $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 3, 4$, then $L_{1,3}(k) = N_{1,3}(k)/4$.*
2. *If $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $L_{1,3}(k) = (N_{1,3}(k) - 2)/4$.*
3. *If $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $L_{1,3}(k) = (N_{1,3}(k) - 2)/4$.*
4. *If $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then $L_{1,3}(k) = (N_{1,3}(k) - 6)/4$.*

Proof. 1. Let $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 3, 4$. Then if (x, y) is a presentation of k in the form (37), then $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$ and $x \neq y$. To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (38) correspond exactly four different presentations of k in the form (37), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm x, \pm y)$. Therefore $N_{1,3}(k) = 4L_{1,3}(k)$, whence it follows the required formula.

2. Let $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (37), described in p. 1, there are also only two different presentations of k in the form (37), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm m, 0)$. Therefore $N_{1,3}(k) = 4L_{1,3}(k) + 2$, whence it follows the required formula.

3. Let $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (37), described in p. 1, there are also only two different presentations of k in the form (37), namely, ordered pairs $(0, \pm m)$. Therefore $N_{1,3}(k) = 4L_{1,3}(k) + 2$, whence it follows the required formula.

4. Let $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (37), described in p. 1, there are also only 6 different presentations of k in the form (37), namely, ordered pairs $(\pm m, \pm m)$, $(\pm 2m, 0)$. Therefore $N_{1,2}(k) = 4L_{1,2}(k) + 6$, whence it follows the required formula. □

Theorem 8. [9] *A natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \quad x,\ y,\ z \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{39}$$

if and only if k cannot be presented in the form $4^m(8l + 7)$, where m, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 9. [8] *1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = 1, 2 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, $k \neq 1$. Then the number $\psi(k)$ of proper presentations of k in the form (39) is finite and equal to $12h(k)$, where*

$$h(k) = \sum \left(-\frac{k}{a}\right), \tag{40}$$

and the summation is taken for all a such that $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < a < k$, a is coprime with $2k$; $\left(-\frac{k}{a}\right)$ is the Legendre-Jacobi symbol.

2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k = 3(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$, $k \neq 3$. Then the number $\psi(k)$ of proper presentations of k in the form (39) is finite and equal to $24h'(k)$, where

$$h'(k) = \frac{1}{3}\sum\left(\frac{b}{k}\right), \tag{41}$$

and the summation is taken for all b such that $b \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < b < k$, b is coprime with $2k$; $\left(\frac{b}{k}\right)$ is the Legendre-Jacobi symbol.

Let us define function $F(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by the following rule
1) If $k = (2m-1)^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $F(k) = \sum h(k/\delta^2) - \frac{1}{2}$.
2) If $k \neq (2m-1)^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $F(k) = \sum h(k/\delta^2)$.
In both cases the summation is taken by all square divisors δ^2 of the number k.

Theorem 10. [8] *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $N_3(k)$ be a number of all presentations of the number k in the form (39). Then the following statements are valid.*
1. If $k = 1, 2(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$, $k \neq 1$, then $N_3(k) = 12F(k)$.
2. If $k = 3(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$, $k \neq 3$, then $N_3(k) = 8F(k)$.
3. If $k = 7(\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ then $N_3(k) = 0$.
4. If $k = 0(\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ then $N_3(k) = N_3(k/4)$.

Theorem 11. [5] *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \quad x < y < z,\ x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{42}$$

$L_3(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then
1. If $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, then

$$L_3(k) = \frac{N_3(k) - 3N_2(k) - 6N_{1,2}(k)}{48}.$$

2. If $k = m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_3(k) = \frac{N_3(k) - 3N_2(k) - 6N_{1,2}(k) + 18}{48}.$$

3. If $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_3(k) = \frac{N_3(k) - 3N_2(k) - 6N_{1,2}(k) + 12}{48}.$$

4. If $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_3(k) = \frac{N_3(k) - 6N_{1,2}(k) + 16}{48}.$$

Theorem 12. *1. A natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2 + 2z^2, \quad x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{43}$$

if and only if a number $2k$ can't be presented in the form $4^m(8l+1)$, where m, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
2. The quantity $N_{1,1,2}(k)$ of all presentations of k in the form (43) is equal to $N_3(2k)$ for even number k and $N_3(2k)/3$ for odd number k.

Proof. We shall use the formula

$$2(x^2+y^2+2z^2)=(x+y)^2+(x-y)^2+(2z)^2,\quad x,\,y,\,z\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{44}$$

In consequence of (44), to every presentation (x,y,z) of k in the form (43) correspond the presentation $(x+y,x-y,2z)$ of $2k$ in the form (39). Moreover, if k is an even number and (x,y,z) is a presentation of $2k$ in the form (39), then x, y, z are even numbers. On the ground of (44), to this presentation correspond the presentation $\left(\frac{x+y}{2},\frac{x-y}{2},\frac{z}{2}\right)$ of k in the form (43). Therefore $N_{1,1,2}(k)=N_3(2k)$, if k is an even number.

If k is an odd number and (x,y,z) is a presentation of $2k$ in the form (39), then there is only one even number among them. We can suppose for definiteness that z is an even number. If $x\neq y$ then on the ground of (44), to mutually different presentations (x,y,z), (x,z,y), (y,x,z), (y,z,x), (z,x,y), (z,y,x) correspond exactly two different presentations $\left(\frac{x+y}{2},\frac{x-y}{2},\frac{z}{2}\right)$, $\left(\frac{x-y}{2},\frac{x+y}{2},\frac{z}{2}\right)$ of k in the form (43). If $x=y$, then to mutually different presentations (x,x,z), (x,z,x), (z,x,x) correspond exactly one presentation $\left(x,0,\frac{z}{2}\right)$ of k in the form (43). Therefore $N_{1,1,2}(k)=N_3(2k)/3$, if k is an odd number.

It's remain to apply theorem 8. □

Theorem 13. *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k=x^2+y^2+2z^2,\quad x<y,\,x\neq z,\,y\neq z,\,x,\,y,\,z\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{45}$$

$L_{1,1,2}(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then

1. *If $k\neq\alpha m^2$ for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$, then*
$$L_{1,1,2}(k)=\frac{N_{1,1,2}(k)-8L_2(k)-8L_{1,2}(k)-16L_{1,3}(k)-16L_2(k/2)}{16}.$$
2. *If $k=m^2$ for some odd number $m\in\mathbb{N}$ then*
$$L_{1,1,2}(k)=\frac{N_{1,1,2}(k)-8L_2(k)-8L_{1,2}(k)-16L_{1,3}(k)-16L_2(k/2)-4}{16}.$$
3. *If $k=2m^2$ for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$ then*
$$L_{1,1,2}(k)=\frac{N_{1,1,2}(k)-8L_2(k)-8L_{1,2}(k)-16L_{1,3}(k)-16L_2(k/2)-6}{16}.$$
4. *If $k=3m^2$ for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$ then*
$$L_{1,1,2}(k)=\frac{N_{1,1,2}(k)-8L_2(k)-8L_{1,2}(k)-16L_{1,3}(k)-16L_2(k/2)-8}{16}.$$
5. *If $k=4m^2$ for some $m\in\mathbb{N}$ then*
$$L_{1,1,2}(k)=\frac{N_{1,1,2}(k)-8L_2(k)-8L_{1,2}(k)-16L_{1,3}(k)-16L_2(k/2)-12}{16}.$$

Proof. 1. Let $k\neq\alpha m^2$ for any $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $\alpha=1,2,3,4$. Then k has $N_{1,1,2}(k)$ presentations in the form (43), $L_{1,1,2}(k)$ presentations in the form (45), $L_2(k)$ presentations in the form (34), $L_{1,2}(k)$ presentations in the form (36), $L_{1,3}(k)$ presentations in the form (38).

To every presentation (x,y,z) of k in the form (45) correspond exactly 16 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm x,\pm y,\pm z)$, $(\pm y,\pm x,\pm z)$.

To every presentation (x,y) of k in the form (34) correspond exactly 8 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm x,\pm y,0)$, $(\pm y,\pm x,0)$.

To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (36) correspond exactly 8 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm x, 0, \pm y)$, $(0, \pm x, \pm y)$.

To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (38) correspond exactly 16 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm y)$.

Set $L_2(k/2) = 0$, if k is an odd number. If k is an even number, then to every presentation (x, y) of $k/2$ in the form (34) correspond exactly 16 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm x, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, \pm x)$.

Thus

$$N_{1,1,2}(k) = 16L_{1,1,2}(k) + 8L_2(k) + 8L_{1,2}(k) + 16L_{1,3}(k) + 16L_2(k/2),$$

whence it follows the required formula.

2. Let $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (43), described in p. 1, there are also only 4 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm m, 0, 0)$, $(0, \pm m, 0)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_{1,1,2}(k) = 16L_{1,1,2}(k) + 8L_2(k) + 8L_{1,2}(k) + 16L_{1,3}(k) + 16L_2(k/2) + 4,$$

whence it follows the required formula.

3. Let $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (43), described in p. 1, there are also only 6 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm m, \pm m, 0)$, $(0, 0, \pm m)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_{1,1,2}(k) = 16L_{1,1,2}(k) + 8L_2(k) + 8L_{1,2}(k) + 16L_{1,3}(k) + 16L_2(k/2) + 6,$$

whence it follows the required formula.

4. Let $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (43), described in p. 1, there are also only 6 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm m, 0, \pm m)$, $(0, \pm m, \pm m)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_{1,1,2}(k) = 16L_{1,1,2}(k) + 8L_2(k) + 8L_{1,2}(k) + 16L_{1,3}(k) + 16L_2(k/2) + 8,$$

whence it follows the required formula.

5. Let $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (43), described in p. 1, there are also only 12 different presentations of k in the form (43), namely, ordered triples $(\pm m, \pm m, \pm m)$, $(\pm 2m, 0, 0)$, $(0, \pm 2m, 0)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_{1,1,2}(k) = 16L_{1,1,2}(k) + 8L_2(k) + 8L_{1,2}(k) + 16L_{1,3}(k) + 16L_2(k/2) + 12,$$

whence it follows the required formula. □

Theorem 14. [9] *1. Every natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2, \quad x,\, y,\, z,\, v \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{46}$$

2. Let $\sigma(k)$ be a sum of all odd divisors of the number k. The quantity $N_4(k)$ of all presentations of k in the form (46) is equal to $24\sigma(k)$, if k is an even number or $8\sigma(k)$, if k is an odd number.

Theorem 15. *Assume that a natural number k can be presented in the form*

$$k = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2, \quad x < y < z < v,\ x,\, y,\, z,\, v \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{47}$$

$L_4(k)$ is the quantity of such presentations. Then

1. If $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 192L_{1,1,2}(k) - 192L_3(k) - 48L_2(k) - 96L_{1,2}(k) - 64L_{1,3}(k) - 96L_2(k/2)}{384}.$$

2. If $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 192L_{1,1,2}(k) - 192L_3(k) - 48L_2(k) - 96L_{1,2}(k) - 64L_{1,3}(k) - 96L_2(k/2) - 8}{384}.$$

3. If $k = 2m^2$ or $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 192L_{1,1,2}(k) - 192L_3(k) - 48L_2(k) - 96L_{1,2}(k) - 64L_{1,3}(k) - 96L_2(k/2) - 24}{384}.$$

4. If $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 192L_{1,1,2}(k) - 192L_3(k) - 48L_2(k) - 96L_{1,2}(k) - 64L_{1,3}(k) - 96L_2(k/2) - 32}{384}.$$

Proof. 1. Let $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then k has $N_4(k)$ presentations in the form (46), $L_4(k)$ presentations in the form (47), $L_3(k)$ presentations in the form (42), $L_{1,1,2}(k)$ presentations in the form (45), $L_2(k)$ presentations in the form (34), $L_{1,2}(k)$ presentations in the form (36), $L_{1,3}(k)$ presentations in the form (38).

To every presentation (x, y, z, v) of k in the form (47) correspond exactly $4! \cdot 2^4 = 384$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, all ordered quaternaries $(\pm u_1, \pm u_2, \pm u_3, \pm u_4)$, where u_1, u_2, u_3, $u_4 \in \{x, y, z, v\}$ are mutually different.

To every presentation (x, y, z) of k in the form (45) correspond exactly $\frac{4!}{2!} \cdot 2^4 = 192$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered quaternaries $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm z, \pm z)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm z, \pm z)$, $(\pm z, \pm z, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm z, \pm z, \pm y, \pm x)$, $(\pm z, \pm x, \pm y, \pm z)$, $(\pm z, \pm y, \pm x, \pm z)$, $(\pm x, \pm z, \pm z, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm z, \pm z, \pm x)$, $(\pm z, \pm x, \pm z, \pm y)$, $(\pm z, \pm y, \pm z, \pm x)$, $(\pm x, \pm z, \pm y, \pm z)$, $(\pm y, \pm z, \pm x, \pm z)$.

To every presentation (x, y, z) of k in the form (42) correspond exactly $4! \cdot 2^3 = 192$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, all ordered quaternaries $(\pm u_1, \pm u_2, \pm u_3, 0)$, $(\pm u_1, \pm u_2, 0, \pm u_3)$, $(\pm u_1, 0, \pm u_2, \pm u_3)$, $(0, \pm u_1, \pm u_2, \pm u_3)$, where u_1, u_2, $u_3 \in \{x, y, z\}$ are mutually different.

To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (34) correspond exactly $\frac{4!}{2!} \cdot 2^2 = 48$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, all ordered quaternaries $(\pm u_1, \pm u_2, 0, 0)$, $(\pm u_1, 0, \pm u_2, 0)$, $(\pm u_1, 0, 0, \pm u_2)$, $(0, \pm u_1, \pm u_2, 0)$, $(0, \pm u_1, 0, \pm u_2)$, $(0, 0, \pm u_1, \pm u_2)$, where u_1, $u_2 \in \{x, y\}$ и $u_1 \neq u_2$.

To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (36) correspond exactly $\frac{4!}{2!} \cdot 2^3 = 96$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered quaternaries $(\pm x, 0, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(0, \pm x, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, \pm x, 0)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, 0, \pm x)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, 0, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, 0, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm y, 0)$, $(0, \pm y, \pm y, \pm x)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm y, 0)$, $(\pm y, 0, \pm y, \pm x)$, $(\pm x, \pm y, 0, \pm y)$, $(0, \pm y, \pm x, \pm y)$.

To every presentation (x, y) of k in the form (38) correspond exactly $4 \cdot 2^4 = 64$ different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered quaternaries $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, \pm y, \pm x)$.

Set $L_2(k/2) = 0$, if k is an odd number. If k is an even number, then to every presentation (x, y) of $k/2$ in the form (34) correspond exactly $6 \cdot 2^4 = 96$ different presentations of k

in the form (46), namely, ordered quaternaries $(\pm x, \pm x, \pm y, \pm y)$, $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm x, \pm y, \pm y, \pm x)$, $(\pm y, \pm y, \pm x, \pm x)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm x, \pm y)$, $(\pm y, \pm x, \pm y, \pm x)$.

Thus

$$N_4(k) = 384L_4(k)+192L_{1,1,2}(k)+192L_3(k)+48L_2(k)+96L_{1,2}(k)+64L_{1,3}(k)+96L_2(k/2),$$

whence it follows the required formula.

2. Let $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (46), described in p 1, there are also only 8 different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered sequences $(\pm m, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, \pm m, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, \pm m, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, \pm m)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_4(k) = 384L_4(k)+192L_{1,1,2}(k)+192L_3(k)+48L_2(k)+96L_{1,2}(k)+64L_{1,3}(k)+96L_2(k/2)+8,$$

whence it follows the required formula.

3. Let $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (46), described in p 1, there are also only 24 different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered sequences $(\pm m, \pm m, 0, 0)$, $(\pm m, 0, \pm m, 0)$, $(\pm m, 0, 0, \pm m)$, $(0, \pm m, \pm m, 0)$, $(0, \pm m, 0, \pm m)$, $(0, 0, \pm m, \pm m)$.

Let $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (46), described in p 1, there are also only 24 different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered sequences $(\pm m, \pm m, \pm m, \pm m)$, $(\pm 2m, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, \pm 2m, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, \pm 2m, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, \pm 2m)$.

Therefore on the ground of p. 1

$$N_4(k) = 384L_4(k)+192L_{1,1,2}(k)+192L_3(k)+48L_2(k)+96L_{1,2}(k)+64L_{1,3}(k)+96L_2(k/2)+24,$$

whence it follows the required formula.

4. Let $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, besides presentations of k in the form (46), described in p 1, there are also only 32 different presentations of k in the form (46), namely, ordered sequences $(\pm m, \pm m, \pm m, 0)$, $(\pm m, 0, \pm m, \pm m)$, $(\pm m, \pm m, 0, \pm m)$, $(0, \pm m, \pm m, \pm m)$. Then on the ground of p. 1

$$N_4(k) = 384L_4(k)+192L_{1,1,2}(k)+192L_3(k)+48L_2(k)+96L_{1,2}(k)+64L_{1,3}(k)+96L_2(k/2)+32,$$

whence it follows the required formula. □

Next theorem follows from theorems 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 15.

Theorem 16. *1. If $k \neq \alpha m^2$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$, then*

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 12N_{1,1,2}(k) - 4N_3(k) + 18N_2(k) + 24N_{1,2}(k) + 32N_{1,3}(k) + 12N_2(k/2)}{384}.$$

2. If $k = m^2$ for some odd number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 12N_{1,1,2}(k) - 4N_3(k) + 18N_2(k) + 24N_{1,2}(k) + 32N_{1,3}(k) + 12N_2(k/2) - 120}{384}.$$

3. If $k = 2m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 12N_{1,1,2}(k) - 4N_3(k) + 18N_2(k) + 24N_{1,2}(k) + 32N_{1,3}(k) + 12N_2(k/2) - 72}{384}.$$

4. If $k = 3m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 12N_{1,1,2}(k) - 4N_3(k) + 18N_2(k) + 24N_{1,2}(k) + 32N_{1,3}(k) + 12N_2(k/2) - 64}{384}.$$

5. If $k = 4m^2$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$L_4(k) = \frac{N_4(k) - 12N_{1,1,2}(k) - 4N_3(k) + 18N_2(k) + 24N_{1,2}(k) + 32N_{1,3}(k) + 12N_2(k/2) - 216}{384}.$$

6. Conclusion

In tables 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 (6 and 7) we present (on decrease) 10 maximal negative eigenvalues of Laplacian on Lie groups Spin(9), SO(9), Sp(4), PSp(4), SO(8), PSO(8) (Spin(8)) respectively.

Theorem 17. *Let $G = \mathrm{Spin}(9)$ is supplied by biinvariant Riemannian metric ν such that $\nu(e) = -k_{\mathrm{ad}}$. A number $\lambda < 0$ is eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G, ν) in one and only one of the following cases (I or II)*

I. 1) $-7\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $84 - 56\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different odd natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(21 - 14\lambda) < L_4(84 - 56\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$, is equal to $L_4(84-56\lambda)$.

II. 1) $-14\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $21 - 14\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(21 - 14\lambda) > 0$.

3) natural number $84 - 56\lambda$ can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different odd natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(84 - 56\lambda) = L_4(21 - 14\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$, is equal to $L_4(21-14\lambda)$.

Proof. Following to (12), let us consider Diophantine equation

$$(2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (2\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + \nu_4^2 = 84 - 56\lambda, \tag{48}$$

where ν_1, ν_2, ν_3, $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that if the equation (48) is solvable, then $-56\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume at first that the equation (48) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_4 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Let us introduce the following notation

$$x = \nu_4,\ y = 2\nu_3 + \nu_4,\ z = 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4,\ v = 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + 2\nu_3 + \nu_4.$$

Then the equation (48) will written down in the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 = 84 - 56\lambda, \tag{49}$$

furthermore

$$x,\, y,\, z,\, v \in \mathbb{N},\ x \equiv y \equiv z \equiv v \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ x < y < z < v. \tag{50}$$

Note that the square of any odd number gives residue 1 under division by 8, while the square of any even number gives residue 0 or 4 under division by 8. Consequently if the equation (49) is solvable under condition (50), then $84 - 56\lambda \equiv 4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ what is equivalent to relation $-7\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely, if $-7\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and the equation (49) is solvable under condition (50), then or x, y, z, v are odd, or x, y, z, v are even and among these

№	λ	$k_1 = 21 - 14\lambda$	$k_2 = 84 - 56\lambda$	$L_4(k_1)$	$L_4(k_2)$	$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{4}{7}$	29	116	0	1	$(2,1,1,1)$	81
2	$-\frac{9}{14}$	30	120	1	1	$(1,1,1,2)$	256
3	$-\frac{9}{7}$	39	156	1	3	$(3,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,2,1)$ $(2,1,1,2)$	25376
4	$-\frac{10}{7}$	41	164	0	1	$(1,1,1,3)$	15876
5	$-\frac{12}{7}$	45	180	0	1	$(2,2,1,1)$	53361
6	$-\frac{25}{14}$	46	184	1	1	$(1,2,1,2)$	186624
7	-2	49	196	0	1	$(2,1,2,1)$	352836
8	$-\frac{29}{14}$	50	200	1	1	$(3,1,1,2)$	331776
9	$-\frac{15}{7}$	51	204	1	3	$(4,1,1,1)$ $(2,1,1,3)$ $(1,1,2,2)$	1467936
10	$-\frac{16}{7}$	53	212	0	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	245025

Table 2. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{Spin}(9), \nu)$

numbers the odd quantity is divisible by 4. Therefore the number of solutions to equation (49) with condition (50) is equal to $L_4(84 - 56\lambda) - L_4(21 - 14\lambda)$.

Suppose now that the equation (48) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_4 \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. It is clear that $84 - 56\lambda \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4)$ what is equivalent to relation $-14\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us introduce the following notation

$$x = \frac{\nu_4}{2},\ y = \nu_3 + \frac{\nu_4}{2},\ z = \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\nu_4}{2},\ v = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \frac{\nu_4}{2}.$$

Then the equation (48) will written down in the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 = 21 - 14\lambda, \tag{51}$$

furthermore

$$x,\, y,\, z,\, v \in \mathbb{N},\ x < y < z < v. \tag{52}$$

In addition the number of solutions to equation (51), satisfying conditions (52), is equal to $L_4(21 - 14\lambda)$. □

Theorem 18. *Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(9)$ is supplied by biinvariant Riemannian metric ν such that $\nu(e) = -k_{\mathrm{ad}}$. A number $\lambda < 0$ is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G, ν) if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled*

1) $-7\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

№	λ	$k_1 = 21 - 14\lambda$	$k_2 = 84 - 56\lambda$	$L_4(k_1)$	$L_4(k_2)$	$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{4}{7}$	29	116	0	1	$(2,1,1,1)$	81
2	$-\frac{9}{7}$	39	156	1	3	$(3,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,2,1)$	8992
3	$-\frac{10}{7}$	41	164	0	1	$(1,1,1,3)$	15876
4	$-\frac{12}{7}$	45	180	0	1	$(2,2,1,1)$	53361
5	-2	49	196	0	1	$(2,1,2,1)$	352836
6	$-\frac{15}{7}$	51	204	1	3	$(4,1,1,1)$ $(2,1,1,3)$	878112
7	$-\frac{16}{7}$	53	212	0	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	245025
8	$-\frac{18}{7}$	57	228	1	3	$(3,2,1,1)$ $(1,2,2,1)$	3550600
9	$-\frac{19}{7}$	59	236	0	1	$(1,2,1,3)$	7683984
10	$-\frac{20}{7}$	61	244	0	1	$(3,1,2,1)$	6036849

Table 3. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{SO}(9), \nu)$

2) natural number $84 - 56\lambda$ *is a sum of squares of four mutually different odd natural numbers, i.e.* $L_4(21 - 14\lambda) < L_4(84 - 56\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ*, such that* $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$*, is equal to* $L_4(84 - 56\lambda) - L_4(21 - 14\lambda)$.

Proof. This statement follows from (13) and the proof of theorem 17. □

Theorem 19. *Let* $G = \mathrm{SO}(9)$ *is supplied by biinvariant Riemannian metric* ν *such that* $\nu(e) = -k_{\mathrm{ad}}$*. A number* $\lambda < 0$ *is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on* (G, ν) *if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled*

1) $-20\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$*;*

2) natural number $30 - 20\lambda$ *is a sum of squares of four mutually different natural numbers, i.e.* $L_4(30 - 20\lambda) > 0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ*, such that* $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$*, is equal to* $L_4(30-20\lambda)$.

Proof. Following to (21), let us consider Diophantine equation

$$(\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + (\nu_3 + \nu_4)^2 + \nu_4^2 = 30 - 20\lambda, \tag{53}$$

where ν_1, ν_2, ν_3, $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that if the equation (53) is solvable, then $-20\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us introduce the following notation

$$x = \nu_4,\ y = \nu_3 + \nu_4,\ z = \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4,\ v = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4. \tag{54}$$

№	λ	$30-20\lambda$	$L_4(30-20\lambda)$	$(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{9}{20}$	39	1	$(2,1,1,1)$	64
2	$-\frac{4}{5}$	46	1	$(1,2,1,1)$	729
3	-1	50	1	$(3,1,1,1)$	1296
4	$-\frac{21}{20}$	51	1	$(1,1,2,1)$	2304
5	$-\frac{6}{5}$	54	1	$(1,1,1,2)$	1764
6	$-\frac{27}{20}$	57	1	$(2,2,1,1)$	25600
7	$-\frac{8}{5}$	62	1	$(2,1,2,1)$	99225
8	$-\frac{33}{20}$	63	1	$(4,1,1,1)$	14400
9	$-\frac{7}{4}$	65	1	$(2,1,1,2)$	82944
10	$-\frac{9}{5}$	66	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	94864

Table 4. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{Sp}(4),\nu)$

№	λ	$30-20\lambda$	$L_4(30-20\lambda)$	$(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{4}{5}$	46	1	$(1,2,1,1)$	729
2	-1	50	1	$(3,1,1,1)$	1296
3	$-\frac{6}{5}$	54	1	$(1,1,1,2)$	1764
4	$-\frac{8}{5}$	62	1	$(2,1,2,1)$	99225
5	$-\frac{9}{5}$	66	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	94864
6	-2	70	1	$(3,2,1,1)$	352836
7	$-\frac{11}{5}$	74	1	$(1,2,1,2)$	627264
8	$-\frac{12}{5}$	78	3	$(5,1,1,1)$ $(3,1,1,2)$ $(1,1,3,1)$	2123550
9	$-\frac{27}{10}$	84	1	$(2,2,2,1)$	16777216
10	$-\frac{14}{5}$	86	1	$(1,1,1,3)$	352836

Table 5. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{PSp}(4),\nu)$

Then the equation (53) will written down in the form

$$x^2+y^2+z^2+v^2=30-20\lambda, \tag{55}$$

moreover the condition (52) is fulfilled. Therefore the number of solutions to equation (53) is equal to $L_4(30-20\lambda)$. □

Theorem 20. *Let $G=\mathrm{PSp}(4)$ is supplied by biinvariant Riemannian metric ν such that $\nu(e)=-k_{\mathrm{ad}}$. A number $\lambda<0$ is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G,ν) if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled*

1) $-10\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $30-20\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(30-20\lambda)>0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda)=\lambda$, is equal to $L_4(30-20\lambda)$.

Proof. Following to (22), consider Diophantine equation (53), where $\nu_1\equiv\nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$, ν_1, ν_2, ν_3, $\nu_4\in\mathbb{N}$. After the change of variables (54) the equation (53) will written down in the form (55), where

$$x,\ y,\ z,\ v\in\mathbb{N},\ x+v\equiv y+z(\mathrm{mod}\,2),\ x<y<z<v.$$

If $x+v\equiv y+z(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$ then $x^2+v^2\equiv y^2+z^2(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$. Then it follows from (55) that a number $k=30-20\lambda$ is an even what is equivalent to relation $-10\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$. Conversely, if $-10\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$ then $x+v\equiv y+z(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$ on the ground of the equation (55), where x, y, z, $v\in\mathbb{N}$. Therefore the number of solutions $(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4)\in\mathbb{N}^4$ of equation (53), satisfying the condition $\nu_1\equiv\nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$, is equal to $L_4(30-20\lambda)$. □

Theorem 21. *Let $G=\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ is supplied by biinvariant Riemannian metric ν such that $\nu(e)=-k_{ad}$. A number $\lambda<0$ is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G,ν) in one and one of the following cases (I, II or III)*

I. 1) $-12\lambda\equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$;

2) natural number $56-48\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different odd natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(14-12\lambda)<L_4(56-48\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda)=\lambda$, is equal to $2L_4(56-48\lambda)+L_3(14-12\lambda)$.

II. 1) $-12\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $14-12\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(14-12\lambda)>0$;

3) natural number $56-48\lambda$ can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different odd natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(56-48\lambda)=L_4(14-12\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda)=\lambda$, is equal to $2L_4(14-12\lambda)+L_3(14-12\lambda)$.

III. 1) $-12\lambda\in\mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $56-48\lambda$ can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different natural numbers, i.e. $L_4(56-48\lambda)=0$;

3) natural number $14-12\lambda$ is a sum of squares of three mutually different natural numbers, i.e. $L_3(14-12\lambda)>0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda)=\lambda$, is equal to $L_3(14-12\lambda)$.

Proof. Following to (30), let us consider Diophantine equation

$$(2\nu_1+2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(2\nu_2+\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_3+\nu_4)^2+(\nu_3-\nu_4)^2=56-48\lambda, \tag{56}$$

№	λ	$k_1 = 14 - 12\lambda$	$4k_1$	$L_4(k_1)$	$L_4(4k_1)$	$L_3(k_1)$	$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{7}{12}$	21	84	0	1	1	$(2,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,2,1)$ $(1,1,1,2)$	192
2	-1	26	104	0	0	1	$(1,2,1,1)$	784
3	$-\frac{5}{4}$	29	116	0	1	1	$(1,1,2,2)$ $(2,1,2,1)$ $(2,1,1,2)$	9408
4	$-\frac{4}{3}$	30	120	1	1	1	$(3,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,3,1)$ $(1,1,1,3)$	3675
5	$-\frac{7}{4}$	35	140	0	1	1	$(2,2,1,1)$ $(1,2,1,2)$ $(1,2,2,1)$	76800

Table 6. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{Spin}(8), \nu)$. I

where ν_1, ν_2, ν_3, $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that if the equation (56) is solvable, then $-48\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume at first that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_3 + \nu_4 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Since ν_3 and ν_4 occur symmetrically in (56), then we can suppose for definiteness that $\nu_3 > \nu_4$. Let us introduce the following notation

$$x = \nu_3 - \nu_4,\ y = \nu_3 + \nu_4,\ z = 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4,\ v = 2\nu_1 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4. \tag{57}$$

Then the equation (56) will written down in the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 = 56 - 48\lambda, \tag{58}$$

where (50). It follows from the proof of theorem 17 that if the equation (58) is solvable under condition (50), then $56 - 48\lambda \equiv 4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 8)$ what is equivalent to relation $-12\lambda \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Therefore the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ of equation (56), satisfying the condition $\nu_3 + \nu_4 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$, is equal to $2L_4(56 - 48\lambda) - 2L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$.

Let us suppose now that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$, where $\nu_3 = \nu_4$. Then $-12\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. After the change of variables

$$x = \nu_3,\ y = \nu_2 + \nu_3,\ z = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 \tag{59}$$

the equation (56) will written down in the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 14 - 12\lambda, \tag{60}$$

in addition x, y, $z \in \mathbb{N}$, $x < y < z$. Therefore the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ to equation (56), satisfying condition $\nu_3 = \nu_4$, is equal to $L_3(14 - 12\lambda)$.

№	λ	$k_1 = 14 - 12\lambda$	$4k_1$	$L_4(k_1)$	$L_4(4k_1)$	$L_3(k_1)$	$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
6	-2	38	152	0	0	1	$(2,1,2,2)$	122500
7	$-\frac{25}{12}$	39	156	1	3	0	$(3,1,2,1)$ $(3,1,1,2)$ $(1,1,3,2)$ $(1,1,2,3)$ $(2,1,3,1)$ $(2,1,1,3)$	301056
8	$-\frac{9}{4}$	41	164	0	1	1	$(4,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,4,1)$ $(1,1,1,4)$	37632
9	$-\frac{7}{3}$	42	168	0	0	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	90000
10	$-\frac{31}{12}$	45	180	0	1	1	$(1,2,2,2)$ $(2,2,2,1)$ $(2,2,1,2)$	2116800

Table 7. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{Spin}(8), \nu)$. II

Let suppose at the end that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_3 \neq \nu_4$ and $\nu_3 \equiv \nu_4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Then $-12\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us set for definiteness that $\nu_3 > \nu_4$ and introduce the following notation

$$x = \frac{\nu_3 - \nu_4}{2},\ y = \frac{\nu_3 + \nu_4}{2},\ z = \nu_2 + \frac{\nu_3 + \nu_4}{2},\ v = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \frac{\nu_3 + \nu_4}{2}. \tag{61}$$

Then the equation (56) will written down in the form

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + v^2 = 14 - 12\lambda, \tag{62}$$

where (52). Therefore the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ of equation (56), satisfying the condition $\nu_3 \neq \nu_4$, $\nu_3 \equiv \nu_4 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$, is equal to $2L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$. □

Theorem 22. *Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(8)$ is supplied by biinvariant metric ν such that $\nu(e) = -k_{ad}$. A number $\lambda < 0$ is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G, ν) for one and only one of the following cases*

I. 1) $-12\lambda \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$;

2) natural number $56 - 48\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually odd different numbers, i.e. $L_4(56 - 48\lambda) > L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$, is equal to $L_4(56 - 48\lambda) - L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$.

II. 1) $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

№	λ	$k_1 = 14 - 12\lambda$	$4k_1$	$L_4(k_1)$	$L_4(4k_1)$	$L_3(k_1)$	$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	$-\frac{7}{12}$	21	84	0	1	1	$(1,1,1,2)$	64
2	-1	26	104	0	0	1	$(1,2,1,1)$	784
3	$-\frac{5}{4}$	29	116	0	1	1	$(2,1,2,1)$	3136
4	$-\frac{4}{3}$	30	120	1	1	1	$(1,1,1,3)$ $(1,1,3,1)$ $(3,1,1,1)$	3675
5	$-\frac{7}{4}$	35	140	0	1	1	$(1,2,1,2)$	25600
6	-2	38	152	0	0	1	$(2,1,2,2)$	122500
7	$-\frac{25}{12}$	39	156	1	3	0	$(3,1,1,2)$ $(1,3,1,2)$	100352
8	$-\frac{9}{4}$	41	164	0	1	1	$(1,1,1,4)$	12544
9	$-\frac{7}{3}$	42	168	0	0	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	90000
10	$-\frac{31}{12}$	45	180	0	1	1	$(2,2,2,1)$	705600

Table 8. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{SO}(8), \nu)$

2) natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ *is a sum of squares of four mutually different numbers, i.e.* $L_4(14 - 12\lambda) > 0$*;*

3) natural number $56 - 48\lambda$ *can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different odd numbers, i.e.* $L_4(56 - 48\lambda) = L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ*, such that* $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$*, is equal to* $2L_4(14 - 12\lambda) + L_3(14 - 12\lambda)$.

III. 1) $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$*;*

2) natural number $56 - 48\lambda$ *can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different numbers, i.e.* $L_4(56 - 48\lambda) = 0$*;*

3) natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ *is a sum of squares of three mutually different numbers, i.e.* $L_3(14 - 12\lambda) > 0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ*, such that* $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$*, is equal to* $L_3(14-12\lambda)$.

Proof. Following to (31), let us consider Diophantine equation (56), where $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$, ν_1, ν_2, ν_3, $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that if the equation (56) is solvable then $-48\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume at first that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 + \nu_4 \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $\nu_3 > \nu_4$. After the change of variables (57) the equation (56) will written down in the form (58), moreover x, y, z, $v \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x \equiv y \equiv z \equiv v \equiv 1 (\mathrm{mod}\, 2),\ x < y < z < v,\ x + y + z + v \equiv 2 (\mathrm{mod}\, 4). \tag{63}$$

№	λ	$14-12\lambda$	$L_4(14-12\lambda)$	$L_3(14-12\lambda)$	$(\nu_1,\nu_2,\nu_3,\nu_4)$	$\sigma(\lambda)$
1	-1	26	0	1	$(1,2,1,1)$	784
2	$-\frac{4}{3}$	30	1	1	$(1,1,1,3)$ $(1,1,3,1)$ $(3,1,1,1)$	3675
3	-2	38	0	1	$(2,1,2,2)$	122500
4	$-\frac{7}{3}$	42	0	1	$(1,3,1,1)$	90000
5	$-\frac{8}{3}$	46	1	1	$(3,2,1,1)$ $(1,2,3,1)$ $(1,2,1,3)$	964467
6	-3	50	1	1	$(1,1,3,3)$ $(3,1,3,1)$ $(3,1,1,3)$	2116800
7	$-\frac{10}{3}$	54	1	1	$(5,1,1,1)$ $(1,1,5,1)$ $(1,1,1,5)$	259308
8	$-\frac{7}{2}$	56	0	1	$(2,2,2,2)$	16777216
9	-4	62	1	2	$(1,4,1,1)$ $(4,1,2,2)$ $(2,1,4,2)$ $(2,1,2,4)$	44222500
10	$-\frac{13}{3}$	66	1	1	$(3,3,1,1)$ $(1,3,3,1)$ $(1,3,1,3)$	55780032

Table 9. Eigenvalues of Laplacian on $(\mathrm{PSO}(8),\nu)$

Let x, y, z, v are odd. In this case it is easy to prove that the condition $x+y+z+v\equiv 2(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ is equivalent to condition $x^2+y^2+z^2+v^2\equiv 4(\mathrm{mod}\,16)$ or $x^2+y^2+z^2+v^2\equiv 12(\mathrm{mod}\,16)$. Then on the ground of (58) we get $56-48\lambda\equiv 4(\mathrm{mod}\,16)$ what is equivalent to relation $-12\lambda\equiv 3(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$, or $56-48\lambda\equiv 12(\mathrm{mod}\,16)$ what is equivalent to relation $-12\lambda\equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$. Thus if x, y, z, v are odd, then the condition $x+y+z+v\equiv 2(\mathrm{mod}\,4)$ is equivalent

to condition $-12\lambda \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. In addition the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ to equation (56), satisfying conditions $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 + \nu_4 \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$, is equal to $L_4(56 - 48\lambda) - L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$.

Suppose now that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 = \nu_4$. After the change of variables (59) the equation (56) can be written down in the form (60), furthermore

$$x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{N},\ x < y < z,\ z \equiv x + y(\mathrm{mod}\, 2).$$

If $z \equiv x + y(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ then $z^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Then $14 - 12\lambda$ is an even number what is equivalent to relation $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely, if $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ then $z \equiv x + y(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. In addition the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ to equation (56), satisfying conditions $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 = \nu_4$, is equal to $L_3(14 - 12\lambda)$.

Suppose now that the equation (56) has a solution $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ such that $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 \equiv \nu_4(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 \neq \nu_4$. Then $-12\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $\nu_3 > \nu_4$. After the change of variables (61) the equation (56) will written down in the form (62), moreover

$$x,\, y,\, z,\, v \in \mathbb{N},\ x < y < z < v,\ v \equiv x + y + z(\mathrm{mod}\, 2).$$

If $v \equiv x + y + z(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ then $v^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$. Then it follows from (62) that a natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ is even what is equivalent to relation $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. Conversely, if $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ then we get $v \equiv x + y + z(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ in the ground of the equation (62), where $x,\, y,\, z \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the number of solutions $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ to equation (56), satisfying the condition $\nu_1 \equiv \nu_3 \equiv \nu_4(\mathrm{mod}\, 2)$ and $\nu_3 \neq \nu_4$, is equal to $2L_4(14 - 12\lambda)$. □

Theorem 23. *Let $G = \mathrm{PSO}(8)$ is supplied by biinvariant metric ν such that $\nu(e) = -k_{ad}$. A number $\lambda < 0$ is an eigenvalue of Laplacian on (G, ν) for one and only one of the following cases (I or II)*

I. 1) $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ is a sum of squares of four mutually different numbers, i.e. $L_4(14 - 12\lambda) > 0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$, is equal to $2L_4(14 - 12\lambda) + L_3(14 - 12\lambda)$.

II. 1) $-6\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$;

2) natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ can't be presented as a sum of squares of four mutually different numbers, i.e. $L_4(14 - 12\lambda) = 0$.

3) natural number $14 - 12\lambda$ is a sum of squares of three mutually different numbers, i.e. $L_3(14 - 12\lambda) > 0$.

In addition the number of highest weights Λ, such that $\lambda(\Lambda) = \lambda$, is equal to $L_3(14-12\lambda)$.

Proof. It follows from (32) and proof of theorem 22. □

References

[1] *Berestovskii V.N., Svirkin V.M.* The Laplace operator on normal homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Siberian Adv. Math., 20(2010), № 4, p. 231-255.

[2] *Svirkin V.M.* The spectrum of the Laplace operator on connected compact rank two and one Lie groups (Russian). Uchen. zap. Kazan. gos. un-ta. Ser. Fiz.-matem. nauki. 2010. V. 152, book. 1. P. 219–234.

[3] *Berestovskii V.N., Svirkin V.M.* The spectrum of the Laplace operator on compact simply connected rank two Lie groups (Russian). Uchen. zap. Kazan. gos. un-ta. Ser. Fiz.-matem. nauki. 2009. V. 151, book. 4. P. 15–35.
[4] *Bourbaki N.* Groupes et algebres de Lie. Chap. IV–VI. Hermann 115, Boulevard Saint-Germain, Paris VI, 1968.
[5] *Berestovskii V.N., Zubareva I.A., Svirkin V.M.* Laplace operator spectrim on connected compact simple rank three Lie groups // submitted to Mat. Trudy.
[6] *Adams J.F.* Lectures on Lie groups. W.A. Benjamin, Inc. New York, Amsterdam, 1969.
[7] *Buchshtab A.A.* Number theory (Russian). M.: Uchpedgiz, 1960.
[8] *Venkov B.* Elementary number theory (Russian). M.-L.: ONTY NKTP, USSR, 1937.
[9] *Davenport H.* The higher arithmetic. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
[10] *Conway J.H.* The sensual quadratic form. The Carus Mathematical Monographs (26). The Mathematical Association of America, 1997. 152 p.

I.A.Zubareva

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics SD RAS, Omsk Branch,
13 Pevtsova str., Omsk, 644099, Russia
E-mail address: i_gribanova@mail.ru